

REMARKS

Claims 6, 16, and 22 were objected to but indicated to be allowable. In the reasons for allowance it was indicated that the prior art fails to show or fairly suggest the processor-based system which transitions from a still lower power consumption state to a lower power consumption state in response to the operation of a television receiver.

Thus, claim 1 has been amended in keeping with the indication of allowance to correspond generally to former dependent claim 6. Claim 11 has been amended to correspond generally to claim 16, indicated to be allowable.

Claim 21 has been amended to correspond generally to claim 24, indicated to be allowable.

The new claims patentably distinguish for the reasons suggested above and, further, in view of the Examiner's indicated reasons for allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: June 6, 2003



Timothy N. Trop, Reg. No. 28,994
TROP, PRUNER & HU, P.C.
8554 Katy Freeway, Ste. 100
Houston, TX 77024
713/468-8880 [Phone]
713/468-8883 [Fax]